

7KH: RUOG %DQN 21'FH RI

SUSPENSIONANDDEBARMENT

10-Year Update on Case Data & Metrics

2007-2017

ADDENDUM TO THE SECOND EDITION

© 2017 International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank 1818 H Street NW Washington DC 20433

Telephone: 202-473-1000 Internet: www.worldbank.org

This work is a product of the staff of The World Bank. The ndings, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in this work do not necessarily re ect the views of The World Bank, its Board of Executive Directors, or the governments they represent.

The World Bank does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this work. The boundaries, colors, denominations, and other information shown on any map in this work do not imply any judgment on the part of The World Bank concerning the legal status of any territory or the endorsement or acceptance of such boundaries.

Rights and Permissions

The material in this work is subject to copyright. Because The World Bank encourages dissemination of its knowledge, this work may be reproduced, in whole or in part, for noncommercial purposes as long as full attribution to this work is given.

Any queries on rights and licenses, including subsidiary rights, should be addressed to World Bank Publications, The World Bank Group, 1818 H Street NW, Washington, DC 20433, USA; fax: 202-522-2625; e-mail: pubrights@worldbank.org.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OSD Case Data	3
- OSD Dashboa d	5
- \umbe of ims/ndiiduas fem oaiy Sus ended by OSD	6
- \u03c4mbe of Deba ments and Othe Sanctions m osed on i ms/n d i iduas	7
- Du ation of Cases Th ough ina Sanctions Decision	8
- 1j/ o ogy: e centage of Cases/Sett ements ecei ed by 1j/ e of Sanctionab e actice	12
- 🎶 o ogy: B eakdo vn of audu ent actice C aims	13
- OSD Dete minations by Case	14
- OSD Dete minations by es ondent	14
- e centage of sswed Cases est ed at OSD te e	15
Annexes	16
- Annex A · Detai ed B eakdo vn of Du ation of Cases	16
- Annex B Detailed 📝 ology with Bleakdown of laudulent actice Claims	40

OSD CASE DATA

When the Office of Suspension and Debarment (OSD) was created, its terms of reference expressly called for the creation of a data management system. OSD has gathered statistics since receiving its first case.

OSD tracks a variety of indicators that provide insight into the workings of the sanctions system beyond the basic input and output measures of caseload, suspensions and debarments. The World Bank's investigative and sanctions processes are well suited for tracking and measuring, given the specific milestones required. In many circumstances there are prescribed time limits for how long each step can take – for example, subject to any extension or stay of proceedings, Respondents have 90 days to appeal to the Sanctions Board by submitting a Response.

Tracking all steps from beginning to end allows OSD and others involved in the investigative and sanctions processes to monitor trends. This information in turn provides the World Bank with opportunities to direct its resources efficiently and effectively.

The following series of charts provides a glimpse into the OSD case review process from a number of angles, and also provides information about the performance of the World Bank's suspension and debarment system in general. Unless otherwise noted, all charts cover the period from OSD's inception through June 30, 2017 (the end of the Bank's Fiscal Year 2017). The case tracking data shown here reveals no detail about individual cases beyond generic identifiers such as case numbers and milestone dates.

^{1.} INT's annual reports, which are available at www.worldbank.org/integrity, reflect INT's tabulation of data relating to its investigative work.

OSD DASHBOARD

This "all in one" dashboard with overall OSD caseload management statistics is used by the World Bank Suspension and Debarment Of4 c81f v61tatis02 2 cml (eh overaseniherement rs who ne-10(snapshotssueaed vityne cmioned pus sysrar, -0.034 Tw4.8 su0.88

FY07-11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17

320 Sanctions Cases Submitted by INT

275 have been issued to respondents

	291	nave been "regular" sanctions cases	
	29	have been early temporary suspension cases	
Ī	26	have been withdrawn by INT/closed by OSD prior to issuar	nce
Ī	2	have been settled prior to OSD initial review	
Ī	11	are with OSD for initial review	
	6	are with INT for revisions	
Ī	0	are with OSD for supplemental review	

275 Cases Issued by OSD

1	involve T ongoing early temporary suspensions
5	are with the respondents
11	are on appeal before the Sanctions Board
21	have been early temporary suspension cases superseded by an SAE
237	have been totally completed

Percentage of Issued Cases Resolved at OSD Level

66%	have been resolved at OSD level	
34%	have been appealed to the Sanctions Board	

427 Firms/Individuals Temporarily Suspended


368	have been sanctioned by OSD/the Sanctions Board
8	have been sanctioned pursuant to a settlement agreement with INT after the temporary suspension
18	have not been found liable by the Sanctions Board
27	remain under temporary suspension
5	have been released following withdrawal of Notice
1	has been released following revision of recommended sanction

489 Firms/Individuals Debarred or Otherwise Sanctioned

381	have been sanctioned pursuant to OSD/Sanctions Board decisions
108	have been sanctioned pursuant to settlement agreements


NUMBER OF FIRMS/INDIVIDUALS TEMPORARILY SUSPENDED BY OSD


Since its inception, OSD has imposed temporary suspensions on 427 firms and individuals. This chart shows the total by fiscal year and highlights the proportion of those temporary suspensions that were imposed pursuant to the "early temporary suspension" (ETS) procedure.




NUMBER OF DEBARMENTS AND OTHER SANCTIONS IMPOSED ON FIRMS/INDIVIDUALS

The World Bank has imposed 489 debarments and other sanctions on firms and individuals since the creation of the two-tier sanctions system. This chart shows the total number of sanctions imposed by fiscal year and highlights the proportion of sanctions that were imposed pursuant to settlement agreements, as opposed to sanctions proceedings.





While this data gives a useful overview of the activity in the sanctions system and the typical life cycle of sanctions cases, it must be noted that many factors can have an impact on the timeline of a particular case, such as the complexity of the matters involved, stays for unsuccessful settlement negotiations during investigations, the need to obtain legal and procurement policy advice on case-specific matters, extensions to submission deadlines and additional submissions requested by the parties.



Notes:

1. All data as of June 30, 2017. Includes all submitted & sees cases with multiple respondents, the date give & of to permit constructive delivery. Since it relates & anotions Board issued a determination imposing the SDO's (SAEs and RTSs), and as such averages may be skip wide livery of Notice, (ii) receipt of Response, (iii) datelay in delivery, the intervening time has been attribreteen mended sanction. slightly downward because of cases that are pending inexteen in and/or (iv) uncontested determination is the flatter respondents, as have delivery delays under the new stage (e.g., as of June 30, 2017, Case No. 478 had appeliable date (e.g., if the Notice is delivered on sanctions Procedures.


See the sanctions system website (www.worldbank.org/with OSD for initial review for 23 days, but the initial redifferent dates to the three respondents in a case, the latest

remained pending, such that the nal number of days fod thesis used). stage can be expected to be greater than the 23 currently

shown as of June 30, 2017). 3. Four


the Respondent on or after September 15, 2010, OSD issued
3. Four cases (Case Nos. 98, 100, 103 and 111) thataweletermination rejecting the imposition of the sancesse Annex A for Detailed Breakdown initially issued between July and September 2009 westermmended by the SDO in the Notice. For uncontested reissued under the new Sanctions Procedures in Massers for which the Notice was issued prior to such date, the unation of Cases

4. For uncontested cases for which the Notice was issussentations Board decisions and SDO determinations.



TYPOLOGY: PERCENTAGE OF CASES/SETTLEMENTS RECEIVED BY TYPE OF SANCTIONABLE PRACTICE

This chart shows what percentage of the cases and settlements received by OSD since its inception have involved claims of each type of sanctionable practice: fraudulent practice, corrupt practice, collusive practice, obstructive practice and coercive practice. The total of the percentages exceeds 100% because a number of cases have involved claims of more than one type of sanctionable practice (for example, payment of a bribe (corrupt practice) and the submission of false documents (fraudulent practice)). For a further breakdown of the types of fraudulent practice claims, see Typology: Breakdown of Fraudulent Practice Claims.



TYPOLOGY: BREAKDOWN OF FRAUDULENT PRACTICE CLAIMS

This detailed breakdown shows the different types of fraudulent practice claims that have appeared in sanctions cases received from INT. First, a distinction is made between fraud cases that involve the forgery of third-party documents and those that involve other types of fraud. Then, within each of these two streams, a further categorization is done to reflect the specific type of forgery or other fraud. For example, within the forgery stream, common instances are fraudulent bid securities, performance certificates and manufacturer's authorizations. Within the other stream, common instances are misrepresentations of experience, over-invoicing and failure to make required disclosures of conflicts of interest. The totals at the bottom of each column show how often INT has sent each type of claim to OSD for decision-making.


Total	Case Type					ı								100
No. of Cases			Forged Third	Party Document	S		0	ther Fraud				· · ·		# 1 1
Cases		Forged Bank Guarantee or Securitie	s Authorization	Forged s Performance s or Experience Documentation)	Fraudulent Invoices or Payment Certi cations	tation or Omis		or Future	Other Fraud				
320	SAEs and RTSs	44	29	57	18	26	41	46	18	53	34	69	19	2
96	Settlements	s 2	3	7	5	20	21	18	12	20	9	14	0	0
Total N Instand	lumber of ces	46	32	64	23	46	62	64	30	73				
Total Number of Forgery Cases vs. 163 Other Fraud Cases														
Total Number of Cases by Type of Sanctionable Practice					339					43	83	19	2	
	Ca	ses with mo	re than 1 type	of misconduct					59					

See Annex B for Detailed Breakdown of All Fraudulent Practice Claims

OSD DETERMINATIONS BY CASE


In 96% of the cases that OSD has reviewed to date, OSD determined that there was sufficient evidence to support at least one of the claims made by INT.

In 38% of the cases reviewed, OSD determined that there was insufficient evidence to support one or more of the claims made by INT, resulting in the referral of the case back to INT for revision:





PERCENTAGE OF ISSUED CASES RESOLVED AT OSD LEVEL

This chart shows the percentage of sanctions cases issued by OSD in which none of the Respondents submitted an appeal to the Sanctions Board, meaning that those cases were resolved at the OSD level.




Ca: No	e Date of Earliest Investigative Activity Re ected in Case Exhibit	INT's SAE/R ⁻ to OSD	Period with IN for Investigative Activity and Case Preparation (Days)	Date OSD Determination Sent to INT	Period with OSD for Initial Determination (Days)	(If Appl.) Date INT's Revisions Se to OSD	(If Appl.) Period with nINT for Revision (Days)	(If Appl.) Date OSD Provide Supplementa Determination (2nd Review)	OSD for	(If Appl.) Date INT's 2nd Revision Sen to OSD	Period	Date Notice Issued to Respondent (and Suspension Imposed after Sept.15, 2010)
-												
												<u> </u>







Period with OSD for Fina Review and Issuance (Days)	Date of Delive of Notice to Respondents (if Issued after Sept. 15, 2010	ryDate Respondent's Response (if any) Received by Sanctions Board	Date of SDO Per Determination Re (if any) in CodUncontested Cases	riod with spondent t ntest Case ays)	Date of INT's Reply	Period with INT for Reply (Days)	Sanctions Board Decision	Period for Additional Submissions, Hearing, and Sanctions Board Review (Days)	(for ETS) Date of Submission of INT's SAE		
								(Days)			


Period with OSD for Fina	0 0 1 702.288	_1 1 Tf 8.5 0 (16.1611 Tm [(F	d)]TJ T* (with	ion)]TJ 8r -0.0	NT)Tj T* (fo(tic









Period with OSD for Fina Review and Issuance (Days)	Date of Delive of Notice to Respondents (if Issued after Sept. 15, 2010	Respondent's Response (if any) Receive	dUncontested		Date of INT's Reply	Period with INT for Reply (Days)	Date of Sanctions Board Decision		(for ETS) Date of Submission of INT's SAE or Termination	ETS) Period with INT	Cumulative Duration (Days)	Cumulative Duration from Submission of INT's SAE/RTS to OSD (Days)
7	10/15/12		01/15/13	96							412	200
11	11/09/12		02/08/13	95							892	198
11	12/17/12	03/08/13		87	04/03/13	26	05/19/14	411			1869	663
4	09/04/12		12/04/12	105							825	130
4	02/22/13	05/15/13		89	06/24/13	40	07/15/14	386			1088	692
	RTS Stayed at	t INT's Reques	st on 9/19/12; S	Superseded by	SAE and Dee	med Withdraw	n by INT on 3/	8/13			492	22
12	11/14/13		02/14/14	451							865	532
17	02/08/13		05/10/13	123							878	252
5	10/01/12	06/19/13		266	07/22/13	33	07/09/14	352			1150	658
11	12/05/12		03/07/13	97							941	160
108	10/29/13		03/31/14	157							1341	511
12	12/16/13		03/19/14	393							950	499
	Case Closed b	by OSD on 2/1	9/13 for Insuf o	iency of Evide	ence						925	84
4	01/30/13								07/26/13	178	977	217
6	03/19/13		06/18/13	99							1044	173
17	08/27/13		11/26/13	96							964	305
48	04/02/14		07/02/14	93							1434	497
6	03/12/13		06/11/13	91							500	100
43	03/24/14	11/19/14		243	01/22/15	64	06/29/16	524			1871	1209
	Settlement on	4/17/13									443	22
2	08/26/13	04/14/14		234	06/23/14	70	09/29/15	463			1279	915
1	04/08/13		07/09/13	96							404	98
7	10/01/13		01/02/14	98							979	259
6	11/11/14		02/10/15	216							1533	652
	Case Closed b	by OSD on 7/1	1/13 for Insuf o	iency of Evide	ence						1607	52
8	07/02/13		10/01/13	96							567	134
8	07/05/13		10/04/13	99							803	128
3	02/03/14		05/06/14	319							921	341



	No.	Date of Earliest Investigative Activity Re ected in Case Exhibits	INT's SAE/RT to OSD	Period with IN for Investigative Activity and Case Preparation (Days)		Period with OSD for Initial Determination (Days)		(If Appl.) Period with nINT for Revision (Days)	(If Appl.) Date OSD Provide Supplementa Determinatior (2nd Review)	Period with OSD for Supplementa		Period	Date Notice Issued to Respondent (and Suspension Imposed after Sept.15, 2010)
221.	TS15	10/18/13	08/20/14	306	10/03/14	44	10/06/14	3					10/15/14
222.	355	10/03/13	09/08/14	340	09/12/14	4							09/26/14
223.	310	10/11/12	09/18/14	707	02/05/15	140	02/27/15	22					04/02/15
224.	329	03/08/12	09/30/14	936	12/24/14	85	01/21/15	28					02/04/15
225.	339	01/05/11	09/30/14	1364	02/13/15	136	03/16/15	31					03/27/15
226.	TS16	10/24/13	10/27/14	368	12/22/14	56							01/06/15
227.	369	09/20/12	11/04/14	775	11/13/14	9							11/24/14
228.	351	11/19/13	11/20/14	366	12/22/14	32							01/15/15
229.	372	06/01/11	12/03/14	1281	01/15/15	43	02/03/15	19					02/11/15
230.	377	11/18/13	12/19/14	396	03/02/15	73	03/13/15	11					03/24/15
231.	354	10/10/12	12/22/14	803	01/30/15	39	02/27/15	28	03/06/15	7	03/27/15	21	03/31/15
232.	383	09/08/10	12/23/14	1567	02/13/15	52	03/17/15	32					04/08/15
233.	352	11/19/13	01/14/15	421	03/11/15	56							04/08/15
234.	357	10/16/13	01/14/15	455	05/29/15	135	06/08/15	10					06/25/15
235.	TS18	11/05/13	01/23/15	444	03/06/15	42							03/23/15
236.	TS17	10/30/14	01/27/15	89	03/06/15	38	03/12/15	6					03/19/15
237.	348	03/08/12	02/02/15	1061	04/13/15	70	05/14/15	31					05/27/15
238.	347	01/23/14	02/19/15	392	06/30/15	131	07/28/15	28					08/03/15
239.	349	09/19/12	03/02/15	894	05/04/15	63	05/15/15	11					06/01/15
240.	368	11/16/12	03/12/15	846	05/15/15	64	07/07/15	53					07/10/15
241.	364	07/09/13	03/13/15	612	04/24/15	42	10/20/15	179					10/26/15
242.	376	09/03/13	03/26/15	569	08/13/15	140							08/25/15
243.	375	01/09/14	04/29/15	475	06/01/15	33							06/22/15
244.	387	01/02/14	05/05/15	488	06/30/15	56	07/28/15	28					08/03/15
245.	393	10/24/12	05/13/15	931	08/06/15	85	08/24/15	18					09/02/15
246.	TS19	08/02s /T1	_1 1 Tf 18.525	0 Td8/2353 0	Td (5619j 0 0 0	scn /GS2 gs	6.692 0 Td (08	/24/15)Tj 1 ′	1 scn /GS0 g	s 6.353 0 Td (34)Tj 0 (s /T1_	1 1 Tf 18.5	25 0 Td (0 0 scn /0 scn /GS251.



	Period with OSD for Fina Review and Issuance (Days)	Date of Delive of Notice to Respondents (if Issued after Sept. 15, 2010	Respondent's Response (if any) Received	(if any) in dUncontested	Respondent t Contest Case	Date of INT's Reply	Period with INT for Reply (Days)	Date of Sanctions Board Decision		(for ETS) Dat of Submissior of INT's SAE or Termination	ETS) Period with INT	Cumulative Duration (Days)	Cumulative Duration from Submission of INT's SAE/RTS to OSD (Days)
	5	06/30/15								06/21/16	365	585	376
	9	11/06/15		02/05/16	99							696	227
		Case Closed b	y OSD on 10/6	6/15 for Insuf c	iency of Evide	nce						566	98
	5	10/15/15		01/14/16	171							723	197
	6	09/14/15		12/15/15	97							734	161
	7	09/07/15	02/04/16		154	03/07/16	32	06/21/17	471			1198	701
	6	10/05/15		01/05/16	111							796	160
	8	10/21/15		01/20/16	99							1198	147
	2	09/25/15								09/16/16	365	415	386
	22	10/12/15		01/12/16	97							662	134
	7	10/16/15								10/06/16	365	435	400
	4	10/26/15								10/06/16	353	435	377
	3	10/21/15	01/19/16		92	03/03/16	44	06/16/17	470			997	624
	8	11/27/15	04/28/16		156	06/28/16	61	06/23/17	360			1430	627
	4	11/09/15		02/09/16	98							617	118
	5	02/22/16		05/24/16	161							805	223
	5	04/05/16	07/01/16		92	08/04/16	34	Pending	330			1230	602
	20	04/29/16	08/29/16		125	10/27/16	59	Pending	246			2473	577
	10	07/19/16		10/18/16	95							1421	321
	5	01/04/16		04/05/16	98							433	119
	6	05/08/16		08/09/16	96							1275	244
	2	01/11/16								06/07/16	151	922	169
	2	07/23/16	02/08/17		315	04/11/17	62	Pending	80			2125	556
	5	04/18/16		07/19/16	167							615	210
	269	02/20/17		05/23/17	96							1307	504
nce												2293	81
	9	04/25/16		07/26/16	97							552	173
	5	03/18/16		06/17/16	102							767	122





	Case	Date of	Date of	Period with IN	Date OSD	Period with	(If Appl.)	(If Appl.)	(If Appl.) Date	(If Appl.)	(If Appl.) Date	(If Appl.)	Date Notice
	No.	Earliest		ffor Investigativ		OSD for Initial		Period with	OSD Provide	Period with	INT's 2nd	Period	Issued to
		Investigative	INT's SAE/RT		Sent to INT	Determination			Supplementa		Revision Sen		Respondent
		Activity Re ected in	to OSD	and Case Preparation		(Days)	to OSD	Revision (Days)	Determination	Supplementa Determination	to OSD	for 2nd Revision	(and Suspension Imposed after
		Case Exhibits	•	(Days)				(Days)	(Zna review)	(Days)		(Days)	Sept.15, 2010)
306	448	03/26/13	01/26/17	1402	Pending	155							
307	465	06/17/15	01/27/17	590	Pending	154							
308	464	12/19/13	02/15/17	1154	Pending	135							
309	466	04/17/15	02/24/17	679	04/19/17	54	Pending	72					
310	468	09/16/15	03/20/17	551	Pending	102							
311	TS30	03/14/14	03/20/17	1102	04/18/17	29					Case Closed	by OSD on	4/18/17 for Insuf ciency of Evide
312	406	03/03/15	04/12/17	771	Pending	79							
313	460	08/22/16	04/12/17	233	05/22/17	40							05/31/17
314	482	11/27/15	05/11/17	531	Pending	50							
315	488	09/20/15	05/11/17	599	Pending	50							
316	436	02/19/16	05/22/17	458	06/19/17	28	Pending	11					
317	474	06/21/15	05/24/17	703	Pending	37							
318	478	12/18/15	06/07/17	537	Pending	23							
319	405	03/05/15	06/29/17	847	Pending	1							
320	483	06/17/14	06/30/17	1109	Pending	0							
Aver	age Du	ration in Days		727		65		93		40		76	

^{*&}quot;Pending" means pending as of June 30, 2017.

Notes:

Notes:


1

^{1.} All data as of June 30, 2017. Includes all submitted cases (SA) Earldrats Ruch averages may be skewed slightly downward because of cases that are pending in each stage (e.g., as of June 30, 2017, 0 with OSD for initial review for 23 days, but the initial review remained pending, such that the nal number of days for this stage can be expected to be greater than the 23 currently shown as of June 3. In cases with multiple respondents, the date given for (i) delivier regentation and/or (iv) uncontested determination is the latest applicable date (e.g., if the Notice is delivered.)


^{2.} In cases with multiple respondents, the date given for (i) deli(ii) receiptotic Response, (iii) date of extension and/or (iv) uncontested determination is the latest applicable date (e.g., if the Notice is delivered dates to the three respondents in a case, the latest date is used). Also if at least one but not every respondent in a case appealed to the Sanctions Board, dates are given for both (i) receipt of Respondents.
























Settlements

50	шешеш	13										
			Forged Third F	Party Document	S			Other Fraud				
		Guarantee	k Forged s Manufacturer's s Authorizations	Forged S Performance	Other Forgery	Fraudulent Invoices or Payment Certi cations	Con ict or Agent	dMisrepresentation ngRegarding Pas Performance of Experience	orMisrepresentation t Regarding Future r Performance	nOther eFraud	a. 1	1
1.	Settlement					"						
2.	Settlement					"						
3.	Settlement					"						



Settlements

50	tticilicili													
			Forged Third Party Documents Other Fraud											
		Guarantee		Forged s Performance s or Experience Documentation)		Con ict or Agent		t Regarding Futur			I		
79	Settlement								***					
80	Settlement							***						
81	Settlement											"		
82	Settlement										"			
83	Settlement							93		"	"			
84	Settlement						33							
85	Settlement	33												
86	Settlement											33		
87	Settlement							33						
88	Settlement					***								
89	Settlement							"						
90	Settlement											***		
91	Settlement									"				
92	Settlement						11	***	"			"		
93	Settlement					"	***		33					
94	Settlement					"	***		33					
95	Settlement						***							
96	Settlement							33	***					
	l Number of ances	2	3	7	5	20	21	18	12	20				
Forg	l Number of ery Cases vs. er Fraud Cases		17											
	Number of Cas pe of Sanctionatice											1		0
		Cases with	more than 1 typ	oe of misconduc	ct	6								

Useful Web Links

www.worldbank.org/sanctions www.worldbank.org/debarr www.worldbank.org/integrity www.worldbank.org/vdp www.worldbank.org/suspensiondebarment2017