

Notice of Uncontested Sanctions Proceedings

January 17, 2024

**Sanctions Case No. 754
IDA Credit Number 5559-MM
(Myanmar Ayeyarwady Integrated River Basin Management Project)**

**Respondents:
Perfect Dredging Company Pvt. Ltd.
Mr. Arputharaj Job Robinson Devaraj
Perfect**

Dredging the “SAE”) prepared by the Bank’s
and appended to the Notice contained NT’s
engaged in Sanctionable Practices (as defined in
in connection with the above-named project (the
and the evidence gathered by INT in support of

NT in the SAE were that the Respondents:

by entering into an arrangement with two other
“Company B,” a wholly-owned subsidiary of
Company A-manufactured dredger through
contract to procure an amphibious
contract”), in an effort to increase Company A’s
Contract; and

by submitting multiple fraudulent documents to
the “PMU”) as part of a bid for the Contract,
subsequent requests for clarifications.

conducted in accordance with sub-paragraph
Sanctions Procedures, and pursuant to sub-
9.01, and sub-paragraph 9.04 of Section III.A of
in consideration of the factors set forth in sub-
the Sanctions Procedures and in the World Bank
SDO recommended in the Notice that the
Affiliates (as defined in the Sanctions
sanctioned as follows:

Respondent 1
Perfect Dredging Company Pvt. Ltd. (“Perfect Dredging”)

***Recommended Sanction: Debarment with Conditional Release
Minimum Period of Ineligibility of Seven (7) Years***

It is recommended that Perfect Dredging (together with any entity that is an Affiliate directly or indirectly controlled by Perfect Dredging) be declared ineligible (i) to be awarded or otherwise benefit from a Bank-financed contract, financially or in any other manner;¹ (ii) to be a nominated²

the Managing Director of Perfect Dredging in the collusive and fraudulent schemes. The SDO did not apply any mitigating factors, or any additional aggravating factors

This declaration of ineligibility will extend across the operations of the World Bank Group, including IFC, MIGA, and the guarantee and carbon finance operations of the Bank.³ The Bank will also provide notice of this declaration of ineligibility to the other multilateral development banks (“MDBs”) that are party to the Agreement for Mutual Enforcement of Debarment Decisions (the “MDB Cross-Debarment Agreement”) so that they may determine whether to enforce the declarations of ineligibility with respect to their own operations in accordance with the MDB Cross-Debarment Agreement and their own policies and procedures.⁴

Respondent 2

Mr. Arputharaj Job Robinson Devaraj (“Mr. Devaraj”)

Mr. Devaraj) be declared ineligible (i) to be awarded or otherwise benefit from a financed contract, financially or in any other manner;

⁵ (ii) to be a nominated sub-

³ Sanctions Procedures, . . . su -paragraph 9.c) of Section I.A. For the avoidance of doubt, the declaration of ineligibility also extends to activities financed M]TJ0 -1.157 TD[(t)6.9 (h Procurement Regulations (either of the Regulatio’ predecessor documnts, the Procurement Gdelines and onsultant Gdelines) or AntiM]TJ0 Tc 0 Tw 24.265 0 Td(-)Tj0.006 Tc -0.003 Tw 0.337 ons Procedure. Id.,M]TJ0 Tc 0 Tw 16.205 0 Td()Tj0.006 Tc -0.003 Tw 0.253 0 Td[(Se)4.2 (c)4.2 (t)6.9 (i)6.9 (o)12 (n I)1.6 (I)1.6 ()12.1 (and s)9.4 (u)12 (ropea 0 Td(-)Tj0.006 Tc -0.003 Tw 0.337 0 Td[(A)14.6 (m)5.1 lerician Dvelopment Bank

⁵ For the avoidance doubt, the declaration of ineligibility to awarded a coaill in, withlimita (i) a p -qualification, expressing interest in a consultancy, and bidding, either directly or as a nomnated sub- contractor, nomnated consultant, nomnated macturer or supplier, or nomnated service provider, in respect of such contract, and (ii) entering into andumor amndmnt introducing a material mfication to any existing contract.

⁶ A nomnated sub- contractor, nomnated consultant, nomnated macturer or supplier, or nomnated service provider (different nams are used depending on the particular bidding documnt) s one which has been (i) includ by the bidder in its pre -

*enforce the declarations of ineligibility with respect to their own operations in accordance with the MDB Cross-Debarment Agreement and their own policies and procedures.*⁸

5. The Respondents did not submit an Explanation (as defined in the Sanctions Procedures) in accordance with sub-paragraph 4.02(b) of Section III.A of the Sanctions Procedures.
6. Sub-paragraph 4.04 of Section III.A of the Sanctions Procedures provides that if a respondent does not contest the accusations or the sanction recommended by the SDO in a Notice of Sanctions Proceedings by submitting a Response (as defined in the Sanctions Procedures) to the World Bank Group Sanctions Board (the “Sanctions Board”) within ninety (90) days after delivery of such Notice of Sanctions Proceedings, the sanction recommended by the SDO shall enter immediately into force.
7. No Response having been submitted to the Sanctions Board by either Respondent within the specified period, INT’s accusations in the SAE and the sanctions recommended by the SDO in the Notice are deemed uncontested for purposes of sub-paragraph 4.04 of Section III.A of the Sanctions Procedures, and the recommended sanctions set forth in paragraph 4 above have entered into force as of the date hereof.



Office of Suspension and Debarment (OSD)
The World Bank

⁸ A